## 9-25-2006 Eligibility After Transferring

Pag	e:	136

1	(File: 20060925mwm.)
2	JOSH CENTOR: Good morning. And welcome to
3	"Mondays With Myles".
4	I'm fortunate to be sitting here with NCAA
5	president Myles Brand. How are things today, Dr. Brand?
6	DR. MYLES BRAND: Good. Good morning,
7	Josh.
8	JOSH CENTOR: Good morning. I want to
9	discuss a fairly controversial topic with you this
10	morning.
11	At its meeting in April, the Division I
12	board of directors made the decision to allow graduates
13	with remaining eligibility to be immediately eligible
14	after transferring to a new institution. The board
15	upheld its decision this August, and it will appear
16	before the Division I membership for a final vote at the
17	NCAA Convention in January.
18	What are your thoughts on this?
19	DR. MYLES BRAND: I think it's an
20	interesting idea. I'm not sure we have enough data at
21	this point to know whether it will work or not.
22	On the positive side, someone who has
23	graduated with some eligibility left usually means that
24	they've graduated within the five-year window, maybe a
25	four-year window and still have some eligibility left.

They've been injured or took a redshirt or some such. 1 They should be free to transfer and play for someone else 2 in their last year. 3 4 Now, some of the coaches won't like it that 5 they've trained this individual for three years, four years, and now they go somewhere else. But it does give б 7 them some opportunities. 8 On the other side of the coin is: Will it become a practice that's so prevalent that it essentially 9 10 leads to free agency in the last year of eligibility? 11 And if that happens, then we're going to have shifting of 12 teams too much. 13 Now, I don't know that that's going to 14 happen. We just don't have enough data at this point. 15 JOSH CENTOR: Let's look at the educational 16 side of things for a second. You have a student athlete 17 who, as you said, may have graduated in three, three and 18 a half years, taking a redshirt, have a year of eligibility left. Now, they have their undergraduate 19 20 degree, which is great. That's what they're aspiring 21 for. 22 And now, they can go anywhere in the 23 country. They can go to law school; they can go get an 24 MBA; they can do anything at any other school. 25 Should they be restricted to go to their

1	undergraduate institution and start that graduate work?
2	DR. MYLES BRAND: Well, they would be if
3	they wanted to play sports. They can go any place they
4	like, but the question is will they still have
5	eligibility left for athletics?
6	JOSH CENTOR: Right.
7	DR. MYLES BRAND: We don't want to limit
8	their academic choices, just the athletic choices.
9	I think it depends how many students
10	actually are going to take advantage of it. There are
11	lots eligible for it. But how many would take advantage
12	is not clear. We, again, don't want to create on-campus
13	recruiting of existing student athletes. I mean, that
14	could be a kind of quasi- or metaphorical free agency
15	that can be very disruptive to a team.
16	So while you have to balance the choices
17	that students want to make and I understand your
18	perspective you also want to balance what is important
19	for creative what is important for competitive equity;
20	what's important for the coaches having a sound program.
21	And that's a tough balance.
22	If it's one or a few students for good
23	reasons who move, it doesn't matter. But if we hundreds
24	
	of students changing colleges and universities just to

JOSH CENTOR: So coaches are worried about 1 a potential free agency system. That's what I've seen. 2 They're concerned that people will just go left and right 3 4 to new programs when they have the chance not to sit out 5 a year. DR. MYLES BRAND: Well, it's not just free 6 7 agency. It's the recruiting that's involved in free 8 agency too. And you'll have one coach somehow trying to get into another coach's program to find out which young 9 10 men are going to be able to do this. And they may start 11 a graduate program without a real intention of finishing 12 it, but just use that kind -- kind of approach as an 13 excuse to transfer schools. 14 I'm not saying it's going to happen -- we 15 need more information -- but it has the potential. 16 JOSH CENTOR: Now, this really affects 17 basketball, football, and men's ice hockey. Other 18 sports, you can transfer and not sit out a year right 19 now. 20 DR. MYLES BRAND: That's correct. It's 21 only for those three sports. 22 JOSH CENTOR: Why are the rules different? 23 DR. MYLES BRAND: I think the coaches and 24 the athletic directors wanted to keep some stability in 25 these programs. It was important to them, particularly

in football, for example, where it takes them years to actually be productive for most people. And there's a great deal of investment by the coaching staff, by the school, in each individual, and they don't want them transferring. They're not prohibiting it. There's a penalty to play, but there has to be some incentive to stay with the school you're at.

8 In fact, one sport, baseball, which you 9 know well, does not have a transfer rule, and it's 10 seriously considering it because we see so much transferring taking place right now that it hurts 11 12 academically as well as competitively for the teams. JOSH CENTOR: Well, I think this will 13 14 certainly be interesting to watch in the next year or 15 We've already seen some student athletes leave two. 16 after they've received their undergraduate degree, and 17 we'll have to get some more of that data.

We might have to revisit this in the future, and hopefully we'll be able to do that.

20Thanks for joining me today, Dr. Brand.21And thanks to our listeners for choosing22"Mondays With Myles". We'll see you next week.

25

23

24